FILE COPY

Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUN 30 1967
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1966

No. 1325

IRMA GROSSMAN and DAVID GROSSMAN,

Petitioners,

—v.—

JEANNETTE STUBBS *et al.* and BERNARD PEARLMAN *et al.*,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

IRMA GROSSMAN, *pro se*
287 Avenue C
New York, New York

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1966

No. 1325

IRMA GROSSMAN and DAVID GROSSMAN,

Petitioners,

—v.—

JEANNETTE STUBBS *et al.* and BERNARD PEARLMAN *et al.*,

Respondents.

PETITION FOR REHEARING

Petitioner prays that this Court grant rehearing of its order of June 12, 1967 denying the petition for writ of certiorari on the following grounds:

1. In the forementioned entitled matter the plaintiffs acting *pro se* have proven through documentary evidence that the courts of New Jersey usurped the power of the Supreme Court of the United States making it possible to embezzle monies illegally obtained and defraud the plaintiffs of their Constitutional rights. In Docket No. 822, October Term, 1964, *David Grossman* v. *Stubbs et al.*, the plaintiffs proved a purported settlement had been inflicted and a purported settlement can be inflicted by unscrupulous attornies. In No. 385 October Term, 1964, the plaintiffs showed how through un-uniform laws and attornies greed to make a dollar the people can be misled and manipulated. Plaintiffs as a side issue showed in the aforementioned #1325 the Supreme Court of New Jersey refused to hear any of the issues and upon reaching a point

refused to allow plaintiffs' papers to be filed in any court of New Jersey as the submitted certification shows.

The condition stands in the United States that in general the attornies mesmerize the people. Now to have this court refuse to recognize and condemn this condition is to leave the people in the same pitiful condition they have knowingly or unknowingly endured for far too long. Reform must come to our legal system to protect and insure All of their full constitutional rights.

For the foregoing reason plaintiffs' case should be heard.

Respectfully submitted,

IRMA GROSSMAN
287 Avenue C
New York, New York

Certificate of Counsel

I, IRMA GROSSMAN, *pro se*, certify that the foregoing Petition for Rehearing is presented in good faith and not for purposes of delay.

IRMA GROSSMAN